arXiv:1203.5975v1 [math.DG] 27 Mar 2012

An integral formula on the Heisenberg group

Francescopaolo Montefalcone[1]

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{H}^n$ denote the $(2n+1)$-dimensional (sub-Riemannian) Heisenberg group. In this note, we shall prove an integral identity (see Theorem 1.2) which generalizes a formula obtained in the Seventies by Reilly, [28]. Some first applications will be given in Section 4.

Key words and phrases: Heisenberg groups; Sub-Riemannian geometry; hypersurfaces; Reilly's Formula.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 58C99, 26B20, 43A80.

Contents

Abstract 1
1. Introduction and statement of the main result 1
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ 3
2.2. Hypersurfaces 4
3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 7
4. Some applications 9
4.1. An integral formula for the horizontal mean curvature 12
References 14

1. Introduction and statement of the main result

In the last years, the sub-Riemannian geometry of Carnot groups has become a rich research field in both Analysis and Geometric Measure Theory; see, for instance, [2], [5], [7], [8, 9], [11] , [23], [18], [20], [29], but of course the list is far from being complete or exhaustive. General overviews of sub-Riemannian (or Carnot-Charathéodory) geometries are Gromov, [13], and Montgomery, [22].

In this paper, our ambient space is the so-called *Heisenberg group* $\mathbb{H}^n$, $n \geq 1$, which can be regarded as $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ endowed with a polynomial group law $\star : \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{H}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ identifies with the tangent space $T_0\mathbb{H}^n$ at the identity $0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$. Later on, $(z,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ will denote exponential coordinates of a generic point $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$. Take now a left-invariant frame $\mathcal{F} = \{X_1, Y_1, ..., X_n, Y_n, T\}$ for the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{H}^n$, where $X_i(p) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{y_i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $Y_i(p) := \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \frac{x_i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $T(p) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Denoting by $[\cdot,\cdot]$ the Lie bracket of vector fields, one has $[X_i, Y_i] = T$ for every $i = 1, ..., n$ and all other commutators vanish. Hence, T is the *center* of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ and $\mathfrak{h}_n$ turns out to be nilpotent and stratified of step 2, i.e. $\mathfrak{h}_n = H \oplus H_2$ where $H := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, Y_1, ..., X_i, Y_i, ..., X_n, Y_n\} \subset T\mathbb{H}^n$ is the *horizontal bundle* and $H_2 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{T\}$ is the 1-dimensional (vertical) subbundle of $T\mathbb{H}^n$ associated with the center of $\mathfrak{h}_n$. From now on, $\mathbb{H}^n$ will be endowed with the (left-invariant) Riemannian metric $h := \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ which makes $\mathcal{F}$ an orthonormal frame.

Remark 1.1. *Hereafter, the pair* $(\mathbb{H}^n, h)$ *will be thought of as a Riemannian manifold. By duality w.r.t. the metric h, we define a basis of left-invariant 1-forms for the cotangent bundle* $T^*\mathbb{H}^n$. *Therefore, we have* $X_1^* = dx_1, dy_1 = Y_1, ..., X_i^* = dx_i, Y_i^* = dy_i, ..., X_n^* = dx_n, Y_n^* = dy_n$. *Furthermore, one has* $\theta := T^* = dt + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i dx_i - x_i dy_i)$*, which is the* contact form *of* $\mathbb{H}^n$. *The* Riemannian left-invariant volume form $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} \in \bigwedge^{2n+1}(T^*\mathbb{H}^n)$ *is defined by* $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} := \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i\right) \wedge \theta$ *and the measure, obtained by integration of* $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1}$*, turns out to be the* Haar measure *of* $\mathbb{H}^n$.

---

[1] F. M. has been partially supported by the Fondazione CaRiPaRo Project "Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations: models, analysis, and control-theoretic problems".

The metric $h = \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ induces a corresponding metric h_H on H, which is used to measure the length of horizontal curves. The natural distance in sub-Riemannian geometry is the *Carnot-Carathéodory distance* d_{CC}, defined by minimizing the (Riemannian) length of all (piecewise smooth) horizontal curves joining two different points. This definition makes sense because, in view of Chow's Theorem, different points can always be joined by horizontal curves.

The stratification of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ is related with the existence of a 1-parameter group of automorphisms, called *Heisenberg dilations*, defined by $\delta_s(z,t) := (sz, s^2t)$, for every $p \equiv (z,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. The intrinsic dilations play an important role in this geometry. In this regard, we stress that the integer $Q = 2n+2$, which represents the "homogeneous dimension" of $\mathbb{H}^n$ (w.r.t. Heisenberg dilations), turns out to be the dimension of $\mathbb{H}^n$ as a metric space w.r.t. the *CC-distance* d_{CC}.

Another key notion is that of *H-perimeter,* but since we are dealing with smooth boundaries, we do not adopt the usual variational definition. So let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a smooth hypersurface and let ν the (Riemannian) unit normal along S. The *H-perimeter measure* σ^{2n}_H is the $(Q-1)$-homogeneous measure, w.r.t. Heisenberg dilations, given by $\sigma^{2n}_H \llcorner S := |\mathcal{P}_H\nu|\,\sigma^{2n}_{\mathcal{R}}$, where $\mathcal{P}_H : T\mathbb{G} \longrightarrow H$ is the orthogonal projection operator onto H and $\sigma^{2n}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the Riemannian measure on S. We recall that the unit H-normal along S is the normalized projection onto H of the (Riemannian) unit normal ν, i.e. $\nu_H := \frac{\mathcal{P}_H\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}$ and that the so-called *characteristic set* C_S of S is the zero set of the function $|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|$; see Section 2.2. The H-perimeter σ^{2n}_H is in fact the natural measure on hypersurfaces and it turns out to be equivalent, up to a density function called metric factor (see, for instance, [18]), to the spherical $(Q-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure associated with d_{CC} (or to any other homogeneous distance).

Below we shall prove a general integral identity, which generalizes to the sub-Riemannian setting of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ a well-known formula, proved by Reilly (see [28]) in his work concerning Aleksandrov' Theorem; for a very nice presentation of the original result we refer the reader to [17].

Theorem 1.2 (Main result). *Let $D \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and let $S = \partial D$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth compact (closed) hypersurface. Let $\phi : D \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth solution to* $\begin{cases} \Delta_H\phi = \psi \text{ on } D \\ \phi = \varphi \text{ on } S \end{cases}$.
Then

$$\int_D \left\{\psi^2 - \|\mathrm{Hess}_H\phi\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + 2\left\langle grad_H\,(T\phi)\,,(grad_H\phi)^\perp\right\rangle\right\}\sigma^{2n+1}_{\mathcal{R}}$$

$$= \int_S \left(2\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H}\left(\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi - \frac{\varpi}{2}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H^\perp}\right) - \mathcal{H}_H\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H}\right)^2 - S_H\,(grad_{HS}\,\varphi, grad_{HS}\,\varphi)\right\}\sigma^{2n}_H,$$

.

We stress that:

- Hess_H is the horizontal Hessian operator;
- the symbol $X^\perp$ (whenever $X \in H$) denotes a linear skew-symmetric map. More precisely, it is defined by setting $X^\perp := -C^{2n+1}_H X$, where $C^{2n+1}_H \in \mathcal{M}_{2n\times 2n}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by formula (1); see below.
- $grad_H$ and $grad_{HS}$ denote the horizontal gradient and the horizontal tangent gradient, resp.;
- $\mathcal{L}_{HS}$ denotes a 2nd order horizontal tangential operator, which plays the role of the classical Laplace-Beltrami operator in Riemannian geometry;
- $\varpi := \frac{\nu_T}{|\mathcal{P}_H\nu|}$, where $\nu_T = \langle\nu, T\rangle$;
- $\mathcal{H}_H$ is the horizontal mean curvature of S;
- S_H is the symmetric part of the horizontal 2nd fundamental form of S.

In Section 4 we shall prove some direct applications of our main result.

Another consequence will be discussed in Section 4.1. More precisely, we shall obtain the following formula:

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} ds \int_{S_t}\left((\mathcal{H}_H)^2_t - \left\|S^{\,t}_H\right\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \frac{3n-1}{2}(\varpi^t)^2\right)\sigma^{2n}_H = -\int_{S^+\cup S^-}\mathcal{H}_H\,\sigma^{2n}_H,$$

where $(\mathcal{H}_H)_t$, S_H^t and ϖ^t denote, respectively, the horizontal mean curvature, the symmetric part of the horizontal 2nd fundamental form, and the (weighted) vertical part of the normal ν^t of the hypersurface $S_t = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^n : f_t(x) = f(x,t) = 0\ \forall\, t \in]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\}$. More precisely, we are assuming that there is a foliation of a (small) spatial neighborhood of the (compact, closed hypersurface) $S := S_0$ by means of level sets of a smooth function $f : \mathbb{H}^n \times]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (say of class $\mathbf{C}^3$) such that:

- $|grad\, f_t| \neq 0$ along S_t for every $t \in]-\epsilon, \epsilon[$,
- $|grad_H\, f_t| = 1$ at each non characteristic (abbreviated NC) point of S_t;

see, Corollary 4.9.

As a final remark, we have to mention that, unfortunately, the original arguments of Reilly (or those in Li' survey [17]) cannot be adapted to our context and, above all, it seems to be still a difficult problem to prove a generalized version of Aleksandrov' Theorem in $\mathbb{H}^n$ for $n > 1$; see [29] for the case $n = 1$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$.** The *Heisenberg group* $(\mathbb{H}^n, \star)$, $n \geq 1$, is a connected, simply connected, nilpotent and stratified Lie group of step 2 on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, w.r.t. a polynomial group law $\star$; see below. The *Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{h}_n$ of $\mathbb{H}^n$ is a $(2n+1)$-dimensional real vector space henceforth identified with the tangent space $T_0\mathbb{H}^n$ at the identity $0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$. We adopt *exponential coordinates of the 1st kind* in such a way that every point $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$ can be written out as $p = exp(x_1, y_1, ..., x_i, y_i, ..., x_n, y_n, t)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ can be described by means of a frame $\mathcal{F} := \{X_1, Y_1, ..., X_i, Y_i, ..., X_n, Y_n, T\}$ of left-invariant vector fields for $T\mathbb{H}^n$, where $X_i(p) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{y_i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $Y_i(p) := \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \frac{x_i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $i = 1, ..., n$, $T(p) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, for every $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$. More precisely, if $[\cdot,\cdot]$ denote Lie brackets, then the only non trivial commuting relations are $[X_i, Y_i] = T$ for every $i = 1, ..., n$. In other words, T is the *center* of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ and $\mathfrak{h}_n$ turns out to be a nilpotent and stratified Lie algebra of step 2, i.e. $\mathfrak{h}_n = H \oplus H_2$. The first layer H is called *horizontal* whereas the complementary layer $H_2 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{T\}$ is called *vertical*. A horizontal left-invariant frame for H is given by $\mathcal{F}_H = \{X_1, Y_1, ..., X_i, Y_i, ..., X_n, Y_n\}$. The group law $\star$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ is determined by a corresponding operation $\diamond$ on $\mathfrak{h}_n$, i.e. $exp\, X \star exp\, Y = exp(X \diamond Y)$ for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}_n$, where $\diamond : \mathfrak{h}_n \times \mathfrak{h}_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_n$ is defined by $X \diamond Y = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]$. Thus, for every $p = exp(x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n, t)$, $p' = exp(x'_1, y'_1, ..., x'_n, y'_n, t') \in \mathbb{H}^n$ we have

$$p \star p' := exp\left(x_1 + x'_1, y_1 + y'_1, ..., x_n + x'_n, y_n + y'_n, t + t' + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_i y'_i - x'_i y_i\right)\right).$$

The inverse of any $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$ is given by $p^{-1} := exp(-x_1, -y_1 ..., -x_n, -y_n, -t)$ and $0 = exp(0_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}})$. Later on, we shall set $z := (x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and identify each point $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$ with its exponential coordinates $(z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$.

Definition 2.1. *We call* sub-Riemannian metric h_H *any symmetric positive bilinear form on H. The* CC-distance $d_{CC}(p, p')$ *between $p, p' \in \mathbb{H}^n$ is defined by*

$$d_{CC}(p, p') := \inf \int \sqrt{h_H(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})}dt,$$

where the inf *is taken over all piecewise-smooth horizontal curves γ joining p to p'. We shall equip $T\mathbb{H}^n$ with the left-invariant Riemannian metric $h := \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ making $\mathcal{F}$ an orthonormal -abbreviated o.n.- frame and assume $h_H := h|_H$.*

By Chow's Theorem it turns out that every couple of points can be connected by a horizontal curve, not necessarily unique, and for this reason d_{CC} turns out to be a true metric on $\mathbb{H}^n$ whose topology is equivalent to the standard (Euclidean) topology of $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$; see [13], [22]. The so-called *structural* *constants* (see [14] or [19, 20]) of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ are described by the skew-symmetric $(2n \times 2n)$-matrix

$$C_H^{2n+1} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

This matrix is associated with the real valued skew-symmetric bilinear map $\Gamma_H : H \times H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Gamma_H(X, Y) = \langle [X, Y], T \rangle$.

Notation 2.2. *We shall set*

- $z^\perp := -C_H^{2n+1} z = (-y_1, x_1, ..., -y_n, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \quad \forall\, z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$;
- $X^\perp := -C_H^{2n+1} X \quad \forall\, X \in H$.

Given $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we shall denote by $L_p : \mathbb{H}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ the *left translation by* p, i.e. $L_p p' = p \star p'$, for every $p' \in \mathbb{H}^n$. The map L_p is a group homomorphism and its differential $L_{p_*} : T_0\mathbb{H}^n \longrightarrow T_p\mathbb{H}^n$, $L_{p_*} = \frac{\partial (p \star p')}{\partial p'}\big|_{p'=0}$, is given by $L_{p_*} = \mathrm{col}[X_1(p), Y_1(p), ...X_n(p), Y_n(p), T(p)]$.

There exists a 1-parameter group of automorphisms $\delta_s : \mathbb{H}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^n\,(s \geq 0)$, called *Heisenberg dilations*, defined by $\delta_s p := exp\left(sz, s^2 t\right)$ for every $s \geq 0$, where $p = exp(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n$. We recall that the *homogeneous dimension* of $\mathbb{H}^n$ is the integer $Q := 2n + 2$. By a well-known result of Mitchell (see, for instance, [22]), this number coincides with the *Hausdorff dimension* of $\mathbb{H}^n$ as metric space w.r.t. the CC-distance d_{CC}; see [13], [22].

We shall denote by ∇ the unique *left-invariant Levi-Civita connection* on $T\mathbb{H}^n$ associated with the metric $h = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We observe that, for every $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X} := \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n, T\mathbb{H}^n)$ one has

$$\langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle [X, Y], Z \rangle - \langle [Y, Z], X \rangle + \langle [Z, X], Y \rangle \right).$$

For every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_H := \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n, H)$, we shall set $\nabla^H_X Y := \mathcal{P}_H \left(\nabla_X Y \right)$, where $\mathcal{P}_H$ denotes orthogonal projection onto H. The operation ∇^H is a vector-bundle connection later called *H-connection*; see [20] and references therein. It is not difficult to see that ∇^H is *flat, compatible with the sub-Riemannian metric* h_H and *torsion-free*. These properties follow from the very definition of ∇^H and from the corresponding properties of the Levi-Civita connection ∇.

Definition 2.3. *For any* $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n)$*, the* H-gradient *of* ψ *is the horizontal vector field* $grad_H \psi \in \mathfrak{X}_H$ *such that* $\langle grad_H \psi, X \rangle = d\psi(X) = X\psi$ *for every* $X \in \mathfrak{X}_H$. *The* H-divergence $div_H X$ *of* $X \in \mathfrak{X}_H$ *is defined, at each point* $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$*, by* $div_H X(p) := \mathrm{Trace}\left(Y \longrightarrow \nabla^H_Y X\right)(p)$ $(Y \in H_p)$. *The* H-Laplacian Δ_H *is the 2nd order differential operator given by* $\Delta_H \psi := div_H(grad_H \psi)$ *for every* $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

Having fixed a left-invariant Riemannian metric h on $T\mathbb{H}^n$, one defines by duality (w.r.t. the left-invariant metric h) a global co-frame $\mathcal{F}^* := \{X_1^*, Y_1^*, ..., X_i^*, Y_i^*, ..., X_n^*, Y_n^*, T^*\}$ of left-invariant 1-forms for the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{H}^n$, where $X_i^* = dx_i,\ Y_i^* = dy_i\ (i = 1, ..., n)$, $\theta := T^* = dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i dx_i - x_i dy_i)$. The differential 1-form θ represents the *contact form* of $\mathbb{H}^n$. The *Riemannian left-invariant volume form* $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} \in \bigwedge^{2n+1}(T^*\mathbb{H}^n)$ is given by $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} := \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i\right) \wedge \theta$ and the measure obtained by integrating $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1}$ is the *Haar measure* of $\mathbb{H}^n$.

2.2. **Hypersurfaces.** Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^r$ $(r \geq 1)$ and let ν be the (Riemannian) unit normal along S. We recall that the Riemannian measure $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n} \in \bigwedge^{2n}(T^*S)$ on S can be defined by *contraction*[2] of the volume form $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1}$ with the unit normal ν along S, i.e. $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n} \llcorner S := (\nu \rfloor \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1})|_S$.

We say that $p \in S$ is a *characteristic point* if $\dim H_p = \dim(H_p \cap T_pS)$. The *characteristic set* of S is the set of all characteristic points, i.e. $C_S := \{x \in S : \dim H_p = \dim(H_p \cap T_pS)\}$. It is worth noticing that

---

[2] Let M be a Riemannian manifold. The linear map $\rfloor : \Lambda^r(T^*M) \to \Lambda^{r-1}(T^*M)$ is defined, for $X \in TM$ and $\omega^r \in \Lambda^r(T^*M)$, by $(X \rfloor \omega^r)(Y_1, ..., Y_{r-1}) := \omega^r(X, Y_1, ..., Y_{r-1})$; see, for instance, [10]. This operation is called *contraction* or *interior product*.

$p \in C_S$ if, and only if, $|\mathcal{P}_H \nu(p)| = 0$. Since $|\mathcal{P}_H \nu(p)|$ is continuous along S, it follows that C_S is a closed subset of S, in the relative topology. We stress that the $(Q-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure of C_S vanishes, i.e. $\mathcal{H}^{Q-1}_{CC}(C_S) = 0$; see [2], [18].

Remark 2.4. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth hypersurface. By using* Frobenius' Theorem *about integrable distributions, it can be shown that the topological dimension of C_S is strictly less than* $(n+1)$*; see also* [13]. *For deeper results about the size of C_S in $\mathbb{H}^n$, see* [2], [3].

Throughout this paper we shall make use of a (smooth) homogeneous measure on hypersurfaces, called *H-perimeter measure*; see also [11], [12], [8, 9], [18], [19, 20], [26], [29].

Definition 2.5 (σ^{2n}_H**-measure).** *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $\mathbf{C}^1$-smooth non-characteristic (henceforth abbreviated as NC) hypersurface and let ν be the unit normal vector along S. The* unit H-normal *along S is defined by $\nu_H := \frac{\mathcal{P}_H \nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}$. Then, the H*-perimeter form *$\sigma^{2n}_H \in \bigwedge^{2n}(T^*S)$ is the contraction of the volume form $\sigma^{2n+1}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of $\mathbb{H}^n$ by the horizontal unit normal ν_H, i.e. $\sigma^{2n}_H \llcorner S := \left(\nu_H \lrcorner \sigma^{2n+1}_{\mathcal{R}}\right)\big|_S$.*

If $C_S \neq \emptyset$ we extend σ^{2n}_H up to C_S by setting $\sigma^{2n}_H \llcorner C_S = 0$. It turns out that $\sigma^{2n}_H \llcorner S = |\mathcal{P}_H \nu|\, \sigma^{2n}_{\mathcal{R}} \llcorner S$. Moreover, at each $p \in S \setminus C_S$ one has $H_p = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\nu_H(p)\} \oplus H_pS$, where $H_pS := H_p \cap T_pS$. This allow us to define, in the obvious way, the associated subbundles $HS \subset TS$ and $\nu_H S$ called *horizontal tangent bundle* and *horizontal normal bundle* along $S \setminus C_S$, respectively. On the other hand, at each characteristic point $p \in C_S$, only HS is well-defined and we have $H_pS = H_p$ for any $p \in C_S$.

Definition 2.6. *If $\mathcal{U} \subseteq S$ is an open set, we denote by $\mathbf{C}^i_{HS}(\mathcal{U})$, $(i = 1, 2)$ the space of functions whose HS-derivatives up to the i-th order are continuous on $\mathcal{U}$. We denote by $\mathbf{C}^i_{HS}(\mathcal{U}, HS)$, $(i = 1, 2)$ the space of functions with target in HS, whose HS-derivatives up to i-th order are continuous on $\mathcal{U}$.*

Another important geometric object is given by $\varpi := \frac{\nu_T}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}$; see [19, 20], [9]. Although the function ϖ is not defined at C_S, we have $\varpi \in L^1_{loc}(S, \sigma^{2n}_H)$.

The following definitions can be found in [20], for general Carnot groups. *Below, unless otherwise specified, we shall assume that $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth NC hypersurface* (i.e. non-characteristic). Let ∇^{TS} be the connection on S induced from the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on $\mathbb{H}^n$. As for the horizontal connection ∇^H, we define a "partial connection" ∇^{HS} associated with the subbundle $HS \subset TS$ by setting

$$\nabla^{HS}_X Y := \mathcal{P}_{HS}\left(\nabla^{TS}_X Y\right)$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS} := \mathbf{C}^1(S, HS)$, where $\mathcal{P}_{HS} : TS \longrightarrow HS$ denotes the orthogonal projection operator of TS onto HS. Starting from the orthogonal splitting $H = \nu_H S \oplus HS$, it can be shown that

$$\nabla^{HS}_X Y = \nabla^H_X Y - \left\langle \nabla^H_X Y, \nu_H \right\rangle \nu_H \quad \text{for every } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS}.$$

Definition 2.7. *If $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^1_{HS}(S)$, we define the HS*-gradient *of ψ to be the horizontal tangent vector field $grad_{HS}\,\psi \in \mathfrak{X}^0_{HS} := \mathbf{C}(S, HS)$ such that $\langle grad_{HS}\,\psi, X\rangle = d\psi(X) = X\psi$ for every $X \in HS$. If $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS}$, the HS*-divergence *$div_{HS} X$ of X is given, at each point $p \in S$, by $div_{HS} X(p) :=$* Trace$\left(Y \longrightarrow \nabla^{HS}_Y X\right)(p)$ *$(Y \in H_pS)$. Note that $div_{HS} X \in \mathbf{C}(S)$. The HS*-Laplacian *$\Delta_{HS} : \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}(S)$ is the 2nd order differential operator given by $\Delta_{HS}\,\psi := div_{HS}(grad_{HS}\,\psi)$ for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S)$. The horizontal 2nd fundamental form of S is the bilinear map $B_H : \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS} \times \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS} \longrightarrow C(S)$ defined by*

$$B_H(X, Y) := \left\langle \nabla^H_X Y, \nu_H \right\rangle \qquad \text{for every } X,\ Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS}.$$

The horizontal mean curvature *is the trace of B_H, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_H :=$* TrB_H.

Unless $n = 1$, B_H is *not symmetric*; see [20]. Therefore, it is convenient to represent B_H as a sum of two operators, one symmetric and the other skew-symmetric, i.e. $B_H = S_H + A_H$. It turns out that $A_H = \frac{1}{2}\varpi\, C^{2n+1}_H\big|_{HS}$; see [20]. The linear operator C^{2n+1}_H only acts on horizontal tangent vectors and hence we shall set $C^{2n+1}_{HS} := C^{2n+1}_H|_{HS}$. We have the identity $\|A_H\|^2_{Gr} = \frac{n-1}{2}\varpi^2$; see [20], Example 4.11,

p. 470. This can be proved by means of an adapted o.n. frame $\mathcal{F}$ along S. Furthermore, we observe that $\nu_H^\perp \in \mathrm{Ker} A_H$, where $\nu_H^\perp = -C_H^{2n+1}\nu_H$.

Definition 2.8. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth NC hypersurface. We call* adapted frame *along S any o.n. frame $\mathcal{F} := \{\tau_1, ..., \tau_{2n+1}\}$ for $T\mathbb{H}^n$ such that:*

$$\tau_1|_S = \nu_H, \qquad H_pS = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\tau_2(p), ..., \tau_{2n}(p)\} \quad \textit{for every } p \in S, \qquad \tau_{2n+1} := T.$$

Furthermore, we shall set $I_H := \{1, 2, 3, ..., 2n\}$ and $I_{HS} := \{2, 3, ..., 2n\}$.

Lemma 2.9 (see [20], Lemma 3.8)**.** *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth NC hypersurface and fix $p \in S$. We can always choose an adapted o.n. frame $\mathcal{F} = \{\tau_1, ..., \tau_{2n+1}\}$ along S such that $\left\langle \nabla_X \tau_i, \tau_j \right\rangle = 0$ at p for every $i, j \in I_{HS}$ and every $X \in H_pS$.*

Lemma 2.10. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth NC hypersurface. Then*

$$\Delta_{HS}\,\phi = \Delta_H \phi + \mathcal{H}_H \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu_H} - \langle \mathrm{Hess}_H \phi\, \nu_H, \nu_H \rangle \qquad \forall\ \phi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n). \tag{2}$$

Proof. Using an adapted frame $\mathcal{F}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_H \phi &= \sum_{i \in I_H} \left(\tau_i^{(2)} - \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\tau_i\right)(\phi) \\ &= \tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) - \left(\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1\right)(\phi) + \sum_{i \in I_{HS}} \left(\left(\tau_i^{(2)} - \nabla^{HS}_{\tau_i}\tau_i\right)(\phi) - \left\langle \nabla^H_{\tau_i}\tau_i, \tau_1\right\rangle \tau_1(\phi)\right) \\ &= \tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) - \left(\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1\right)(\phi) + \Delta_{HS}\,\phi - \mathcal{H}_H\,\tau_1(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first identity comes from the usual invariant definition of the Laplace operator on Riemannian manifolds (or vector bundles); see [15]. Now we claim that

$$\tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) - \left(\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1\right)(\phi) = \langle \mathrm{Hess}_H \phi\, \tau_1, \tau_1 \rangle\,.$$

Assuming $\tau_1 = \sum_{i \in I_H} A_i^1 X_i$ yields

$$\tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) = \sum_{i \in I_H} \tau_1(A_i^1 X_i(\phi)) = \sum_{i,j \in I_H} \left(\tau_1(A_i^1)X_i(\phi) + A_i^1 A_j^1 X_j(X_i(\phi))\right).$$

Since $\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1 = \sum_{i,j \in I_H} \left(\tau_1(A_i^1)X_i + A_i^1 A_j^1 \nabla^H_{X_i} X_j\right)$ and $\nabla^H_{X_i} X_j = 0$, the claim follows because

$$\tau_1^{(2)}(\phi) - \left(\nabla^H_{\tau_1}\tau_1\right)(\phi) = \sum_{i,j \in I_H} A_i^1 A_j^1 X_j(X_i(\phi)) = \langle \mathrm{Hess}_H \phi\, \tau_1, \tau_1 \rangle. \tag{3}$$

□

Definition 2.11 (Horizontal tangential operators)**.** *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a NC hypersurface. We shall denote by $\mathcal{D}_{HS} : \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}(S)$ be the 1st order differential operator given by*

$$\mathcal{D}_{HS}(X) := div_{HS} X + \varpi \left\langle C_H^{2n+1}\nu_H, X \right\rangle = div_{HS} X - \varpi \left\langle \nu_H^\perp, X \right\rangle \qquad \textit{for every } X \in \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS}(HS) := \mathbf{C}^1_{HS}(S, HS).$$

Moreover, we shall denote by $\mathcal{L}_{HS} : \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}(S)$ the 2nd order differential operator given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi := \mathcal{D}_{HS}(grad_{HS}\,\varphi) = \Delta_{HS}\,\varphi - \varpi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu_H^\perp} \qquad \textit{for every } \varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S).$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}_{HS}(\varphi X) = \varphi \mathcal{D}_{HS} X + \langle grad_{HS}\,\varphi, X\rangle\ \forall\ X \in \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS}(HS),\ \forall\ \varphi \in \mathbf{C}^1_{HS}(S)$. These definitions are motivated by Theorem 3.17 in [20], which was proved first for NC hypersurfaces with boundary; see [19, 20]. Actually, it holds true even in case of non-empty characteristic sets. A simple way to formulate this claim is based on the next:

Definition 2.12. *Let $X \in \mathbf{C}^1_{HS}(S \setminus C_S, HS)$ and set $\alpha_X := (X \lrcorner \sigma^{2n}_H)|_S$. We say that X is* admissible (for the horizontal divergence formula) *if the differential forms α_X and $d\alpha_X$ are continuous on all of S. We say that $\phi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S \setminus C_S)$ is* admissible *if $grad_{HS}\,\phi$ is admissible for the horizontal divergence formula.*

If the differential forms α_X and $d\alpha_X$ are continuous on all of S, then Stokes formula holds true; see, for instance, [31]. In particular, we stress that: (i) if $X \in \mathbf{C}^1_{HS}(S, HS)$, then X is admissible; (ii) if $\phi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S)$, then ϕ is admissible. The following holds:

Theorem 2.13. *Let S be a compact hypersurface of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ without boundary. Then*

$$\int_S \mathcal{D}_{HS}\, X\, \sigma^{2n}_H = -\int_S \mathcal{H}_H \langle X, \nu_H \rangle\, \sigma^{2n}_H \qquad \forall\ X \in \mathfrak{X}^1_H. \tag{4}$$

Note that, if $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1_{HS}$ the first integral on the right hand side vanishes and, in this case, the formula is referred as "horizontal divergence formula".

Finally, we state some useful Green's formulas:

(i) $\int_S \mathcal{L}_{HS}\, \varphi\, \sigma^{2n}_H = 0$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S)$;
(ii) $\int_S \psi\, \mathcal{L}_{HS}\, \varphi\, \sigma^{2n}_H = -\int_S \langle grad_{HS}\,\varphi, grad_{HS}\,\psi\rangle\, \sigma^{2n}_H$ for every φ, $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S)$;
(iii) $\int_S \mathcal{L}_{HS} \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{2}\right) \sigma^{2n}_H = \int_S \varphi \mathcal{L}_{HS}\, \varphi\, \sigma^{2n}_H + \int_S |grad_{HS}\,\varphi|^2\, \sigma^{2n}_H = 0$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^2_{HS}(S)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Proof. Below we shall make use of the fixed left-invariant frame $\mathcal{F} = \{X_1, X_2, ..., X_{2n}, X_{2n+1}\}$, where we have set $X_{2i} := Y_i$ for every $i = 1, ..., n$ and $X_{2n+1} := T$. First, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\Delta_H |grad_H\,\phi|^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j\in I_H} X_i X_i (X_j\phi)^2\\
&= \sum_{i,j\in I_H} X_i \left(X_j\phi X_i X_j\phi\right)\\
&= \sum_{i,j\in I_H} \left((X_i X_j\phi)^2 + X_i X_i (X_j\phi) X_j\phi\right)\\
&= \sum_{i,j\in I_H} \left(\phi^2_{ij} + \Delta_H (X_j\phi) X_j\phi\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_H (X_j\phi) &= \sum_{i\in I_H} X_i X_i (X_j\phi)\\
&= \sum_{i\in I_H} X_i \left(X_j X_i\phi + [X_i, X_j](\phi)\right)\\
&= \sum_{i\in I_H} X_j X_i (X_i\phi) + [X_i, X_j](X_i\phi) + X_i \left([X_i, X_j](\phi)\right)\\
&= \sum_{i\in I_H} X_j \Delta_H\,\phi + C^{\mathfrak{g}\,2n+1}_{ij} T X_i\phi + C^{\mathfrak{g}\,2n+1}_{ij} X_i(T\phi)\\
&= \sum_{i\in I_H} X_j \Delta_H\,\phi + C^{\mathfrak{g}\,2n+1}_{ij} X_i(T\phi) + C^{\mathfrak{g}\,2n+1}_{ij} X_i(T\phi)\\
&= X_j \Delta_H\,\phi - 2\left\langle C^{2n+1}_H grad_H (T\phi), X_j\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

From these computations, we infer the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Delta_H|grad_H\phi|^2 &= ||\mathrm{Hess}_H\phi||^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \left\langle grad_H\underbrace{(\Delta_H\phi)}_{=\psi}, grad_H\phi\right\rangle - 2\left\langle C_H^{2n+1}grad_H(T\phi), grad_H\phi\right\rangle\\ &= ||\mathrm{Hess}_H\phi||^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \langle grad_H\psi, grad_H\phi\rangle + 2\left\langle T(grad_H\phi), C_H^{2n+1}grad_H\phi\right\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

Hereafter we will use the hypothesis $\phi|_S = \varphi$. By applying the usual Divergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_D \{\psi\Delta_H\phi + \langle grad_H\psi, grad_H\phi\rangle\}\,\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} = \int_S \psi\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H}\sigma_H^{2n}$$

and we get that

$$\int_D \langle grad_H\psi, grad_H\phi\rangle\,\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} = -\int_D \psi^2\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} + \int_S \psi\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H}\sigma_H^{2n}.$$

Set now $\chi := \frac{|grad_H\phi|^2}{2}$. By integrating (5) along D and using the last identity, we obtain

$$\int_D \Delta_H\chi\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} = \int_D\left(||\mathrm{Hess}_H\phi||^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} - \psi^2 + 2\left\langle T grad_H\phi, C_H^{2n+1}grad_H\phi\right\rangle\right)\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} + \int_S\psi\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H}\sigma_H^{2n}.\tag{6}$$

So let $\mathcal{F}_H = \{\tau_1(=\nu_H), \tau_2, ..., \tau_{2n}\}$ be a horizontal frame for H adapted to S and let us compute

$$\begin{aligned}\int_D \Delta_H\chi\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} &= \int_S \langle grad_H\chi, \nu_H\rangle\,\sigma_H^{2n} = \int_S\left\langle grad_H\left(\frac{|grad_H\varphi|^2}{2}\right), \nu_H\right\rangle\sigma_H^{2n}\\ &= \sum_{j\in I_H}\int_S \tau_j(\varphi)\frac{\partial\tau_j(\varphi)}{\partial\nu_H}\sigma_H^{2n} = \int_S\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H}\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\nu_H^2} + \sum_{j\in I_{HS}}\tau_j(\varphi)\frac{\partial\tau_j(\varphi)}{\partial\nu_H}\right)\sigma_H^{2n}.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Using the identity $\Delta_{HS}\varphi = \Delta_H\varphi + \mathcal{H}_H\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H} - \langle\mathrm{Hess}_H(\varphi)\nu_H, \nu_H\rangle$ (see Lemma 2.10) and the fact that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\nu_H^2} - \nabla^H_{\nu_H}\nu_H\right)(\varphi) = \langle\mathrm{Hess}_H(\varphi)\nu_H, \nu_H\rangle,$$

yields

$$\int_S\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H}\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\nu_H^2}\right)\sigma_H^{2n} = \int_S \nu_H(\varphi)\left(\underbrace{\Delta_H\varphi}_{=\psi} - \Delta_{HS}\varphi + \mathcal{H}_H\nu_H(\varphi) + \underbrace{\nabla^H_{\nu_H}\nu_H(\varphi)}_{=\left\langle\nabla^H_{\nu_H}\nu_H, grad_{HS}\varphi\right\rangle}\right)\sigma_H^{2n}.\tag{8}$$

The second integrand of the right-hand side of (7) can be computed by means of a frame $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying Lemma 2.9 at a fixed point $p \in S$. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A &:= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}} \int_S \tau_j(\varphi)\left(\nu_{_H}(\tau_j(\varphi))\right)\sigma_{_H}^{2n}\\
&= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}} \int_S \tau_j(\varphi)\left(\tau_j(\nu_{_H}(\varphi)) + \left(\nabla^H_{\nu_{_H}}\tau_j - \nabla^H_{\tau_j}\nu_{_H}\right)(\varphi)\right)\sigma_{_H}^{2n}\\
&= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}} \int_S \tau_j(\varphi)\left\{\tau_j(\nu_{_H}(\varphi)) + \sum_{l\in I_H}\left(\underbrace{\left\langle\nabla^H_{\nu_{_H}}\tau_j,\tau_l\right\rangle}_{\neq 0\Leftrightarrow l=1}\tau_l(\varphi) - \left\langle\nabla^H_{\tau_j}\nu_{_H},\tau_l\right\rangle\tau_l(\varphi)\right)\right\}\sigma_{_H}^{2n}\\
&= \sum_{j\in I_{HS}} \int_S \tau_j(\varphi)\left\{\tau_j(\nu_{_H}(\varphi)) + \sum_{l\in I_{HS}}\left(-\left\langle\nabla^H_{\nu_{_H}}\nu_{_H},\tau_j\right\rangle\,\nu_{_H}(\varphi) + B_H(\tau_j,\tau_l)\tau_l(\varphi)\right)\right\}\sigma_{_H}^{2n}\\
&= \int_S \left\{\langle \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi, \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,(\nu_{_H}(\varphi))\rangle - \left\langle\nabla^H_{\nu_{_H}}\nu_{_H}, \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi\right\rangle\,\nu_{_H}(\varphi) + B_H\,(\mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi, \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi)\right\}\sigma_{_H}^{2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.13 allows us to integrate by parts the first integrand and, since the boundary term vanishes, we get that

$$\int_S \mathcal{D}_{HS}\,(\nu_{_H}(\varphi)\mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi)\,\sigma_{_H}^{2n} = \int_S\left(\mathit{div}_{HS}\,(\nu_{_H}(\varphi)\mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi) - \varpi\left\langle\nu_{_H}^{\perp},(\nu_{_H}(\varphi)\mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi)\right\rangle\right)\sigma_{_H}^{2n} = 0$$

and hence

$$\int_S \langle \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi, \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,(\nu_{_H}(\varphi))\rangle\,\sigma_{_H}^{2n} = -\int_S\left(\nu_{_H}(\varphi)\Delta_{HS}\,\varphi - \varpi\nu_{_H}(\varphi)\nu_{_H}^{\perp}(\varphi)\right)\sigma_{_H}^{2n}.$$

Therefore

$$A = \int_S\left(-\nu_{_H}(\varphi)\left(\Delta_{HS}\,\varphi - \varpi\nu_{_H}^{\perp}(\varphi) + \left\langle\nabla^H_{\nu_{_H}}\nu_{_H}, \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi\right\rangle\right) + B_H\,(\mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi, \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi)\right)\sigma_{_H}^{2n}. \tag{9}$$

Finally, by making use of (6), (8) and (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_S\left\{-2\nu_{_H}(\varphi)\left(\Delta_{HS}\,\varphi - \frac{\varpi\nu_{_H}^{\perp}(\varphi)}{2}\right) + \mathcal{H}_H\,(\nu_{_H}(\varphi))^2 + S_H\,(\mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi, \mathit{grad}_{HS}\,\varphi)\right\}\sigma_{_H}^{2n}\\
= &\int_D\left(\|\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi\|^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} - \psi^2 + 2\left\langle T(\mathit{grad}_{_H}\,\phi), C_{_H}^{2n+1}\mathit{grad}_{_H}\,\phi\right\rangle\right)\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1},
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the thesis, once we note that

$$\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi + \frac{\varpi\nu_{_H}^{\perp}(\varphi)}{2} = \Delta_{HS}\,\varphi - \frac{\varpi\nu_{_H}^{\perp}(\varphi)}{2}.$$

This achieves the proof. □

4. Some applications

Let us begin with the following:

Remark 4.1. *Let* $\phi \in \mathbf{C}^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$. *In general, the horizontal Hessian* $\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi$ *of* ϕ *it* is not symmetric. *However, we may consider its standard decomposition*

$$\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi = \frac{\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi + \mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{Tr}}\phi}{2} + \frac{\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi - \mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{Tr}}\phi}{2} := \mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{sym}}\phi + \mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{skew}}\phi$$

where $\mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{sym}}\phi$ *denotes the symmetric part of* $\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi$ *and* $\mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{skew}}\phi$ *denotes its skew-symmetric part. It is not difficult to see that*

$$\mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{skew}}\phi = -\frac{T\phi}{2}C_{_H}^{2n+1}.$$

Indeed note that, by its own definition, the i-th row of $\mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{skew}}\phi$ *is given by* $\frac{grad_H\,(X_i\phi)-X_i(grad_H\,\phi)}{2}$*, and one has* $[X_j, X_i](\phi) = (X_jX_i - X_iX_j)(\phi) = C^{\mathfrak{g}_{2n+1}}_{j\,i}T\phi$*. Therefore*

$$||\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi||^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = ||\mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{sym}}\phi||^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \frac{n}{2}(T\phi)^2.$$

The last identity just says that the Gram norm of a matrix is the sum of the Gram norm of its symmetric part with the Gram norm of its skew-symmetric part. Finally, it is elementary to see that $||C_H^{2n+1}||^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} = 2n$*.*

By applying Theorem 1.2 together with Newton's inequality we deduce an interesting inequality.

Corollary 4.2. *Under the same hypotheses of Theorem 1.2, the following holds:*

$$\frac{2n-1}{2n}\int_D \psi^2\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} \geq \int_D \left(\frac{n}{2}(T\phi)^2 - 2\left\langle grad_H\,(T\phi)\,,(grad_H\,\phi)^\perp\right\rangle\right)\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} \tag{10}$$
$$+ \int_S \left(2\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H}\left(\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi - \frac{\varpi}{2}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H^\perp}\right) - \mathcal{H}_H\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H}\right)^2 - S_H\,(grad_{HS}\,\varphi, grad_{HS}\,\varphi)\right)\sigma_H^{2n},$$

with equality if, and only if, $\mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{sym}}\phi = \frac{\psi}{2n}\mathrm{Id}_H$.

Note that $\mathrm{Id}_H \cong \mathbf{1}_{2n\times 2n} \in \mathcal{M}_{2n\times 2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Using Newton's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi||^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} &= ||\mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{sym}}\phi||^2_{\mathrm{Gr}} + \frac{n}{2}(T\phi)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\mathrm{Tr}^2(\mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{sym}}\phi)}{2n} + \frac{n}{2}(T\phi)^2 \\ &= \frac{\Delta_H\,\phi}{2n} + \frac{n}{2}(T\phi)^2 \\ &= \frac{\psi^2}{2n} + \frac{n}{2}(T\phi)^2. \end{aligned}$$

As it is well-known, one has equality in this inequality if, and only if, $\mathrm{Hess}_H^{\mathrm{sym}}\phi = \frac{\psi}{2n}\mathrm{Id}_H$. From this argument and Theorem 1.2 we easily get (10) and the thesis follows. □

The next three corollaries will follow from Theorem 1.2 by making appropriate choices of the "test function" $\varphi : D \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 4.3. *Let* $D \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ *and let* $S = \partial D$ *be a* $\mathbf{C}^2$*-smooth compact (closed) hypersurface. Then*

$$\int_S \left\{\mathcal{H}_H\,\langle V, \nu_H\rangle^2 - S_H\,(V_{HS}\,, V_{HS}\,)\right\}\sigma_H^{2n} = 3\int_S \varpi\,\langle V, \nu_H\rangle\left\langle V, \nu_H^\perp\right\rangle\,\sigma_H^{2n}.$$

Proof. Let $V \in \mathfrak{X}_H$ be a constant left-invariant vector field and take $\varphi = \langle V, x_H\rangle$. Then, we have

- $grad_H\,\phi = V$;
- $T\phi = 0$;
- $\Delta_H\,\phi = 0$;
- $\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi = 0_{2n\times 2n}$;
- $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H} = \langle V, \nu_H\rangle$;
- $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu_H^\perp} = \left\langle V, \nu_H^\perp\right\rangle$;
- $grad_{HS}\,\varphi = V_{HS} = V - \langle V, \nu_H\rangle\,\nu_H$;
- $\Delta_{HS}\,\varphi = div_{HS}\,(grad_{HS}\,\varphi) = \mathcal{H}_H\,\langle V, \nu_H\rangle$;
- $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi = \left\langle\left(\mathcal{H}_H\,\nu_H - \varpi\nu_H^\perp\right), V\right\rangle = \mathcal{H}_H\,\langle V, \nu_H\rangle - \varpi\left\langle V, \nu_H^\perp\right\rangle$.

By substituting the previous calculations into the identity of Theorem 1.2, we get that the left hand side of the identity vanishes. Therefore, one has

$$\int_S \left\{ 2\left\langle V, \nu_H \right\rangle \left(\mathcal{H}_H \left\langle V, \nu_H \right\rangle - \frac{3}{2}\varpi \left\langle V, \nu_H^\perp \right\rangle \right) - \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle V, \nu_H \right\rangle^2 - S_H \left(V_{HS}, V_{HS} \right) \right\} \sigma_H^{2n} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\int_S \left\{ \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle V, \nu_H \right\rangle^2 - 3\varpi \left\langle V, \nu_H \right\rangle \left\langle V, \nu_H^\perp \right\rangle - S_H \left(V_{HS}, V_{HS} \right) \right\} \sigma_H^{2n} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to the thesis. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and let $S = \partial D$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth compact (closed) hypersurface. Then*

$$\mathcal{V}ol^{2n+1}(D) = \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \int_S 3 \int_S \varpi \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle \left\langle x_H, \nu_H^\perp \right\rangle \sigma_H^{2n} - \int_S \left\{ \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle x_H^\perp, \nu_H \right\rangle^2 - S_H \left(x_{HS}^\perp, x_{HS}^\perp \right) \right\} \sigma_H^{2n} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi = 2t$. Then, we have

- $grad_H \phi = x_H^\perp$,
- $T\phi = 2$
- $\Delta_H \phi = 0$,
- $\mathrm{Hess}_H \phi = -C_H^{2n+1}$
- $grad_{HS} \varphi = x_{HS}^\perp = x_H^\perp - \left\langle x_H^\perp, \nu_H \right\rangle \nu_H$,
- $\Delta_{HS} \varphi = div_{HS} \left(grad_{HS} \varphi \right) = \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle x_H^\perp, \nu_H \right\rangle$,
- $\mathcal{L}_{HS} \varphi = \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle x_H^\perp, \nu_H \right\rangle - \varpi \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle$.

We also stress that

$$\mathcal{L}_{HS} \varphi = -\left\langle \left(\mathcal{H}_H \nu_H^\perp + \varpi \nu_H \right), x_H \right\rangle = -\left\langle \left(\mathcal{H}_H \nu_H - \varpi \nu_H^\perp \right)^\perp, x_H \right\rangle = \left\langle \left(\mathcal{H}_H \nu_H - \varpi \nu_H^\perp \right), x_H^\perp \right\rangle.$$

Hence, using Theorem 1.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int_D \left\| C_H^{2n+1} \right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} = -2n\mathcal{V}ol^{2n+1}(D) \\ = \; & \int_S \left\{ 2\left\langle x_H^\perp, \nu_H \right\rangle \left(\mathcal{H}_H \left\langle x_H^\perp, \nu_H \right\rangle - \varpi \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle - \frac{\varpi}{2} \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle \right) - \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle x_H^\perp, \nu_H \right\rangle^2 - S_H \left(x_{HS}^\perp, x_{HS}^\perp \right) \right\} \sigma_H^{2n} \\ = \; & \int_S \left\{ \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle x_H^\perp, \nu_H \right\rangle^2 - 3\varpi \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle \left\langle x_H^\perp, \nu_H \right\rangle - S_H \left(x_{HS}^\perp, x_{HS}^\perp \right) \right\} \sigma_H^{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the thesis. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and let $S = \partial D$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth compact (closed) hypersurface. Then*

$$\mathcal{V}ol^{2n+1}(D) = \frac{1}{2n(2n-1)} \left\{ \int_S 3 \int_S \varpi \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle \left\langle x_H, \nu_H^\perp \right\rangle \sigma_H^{2n} - \int_S \left\{ \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle^2 - S_H \left(x_{HS}, x_{HS} \right) \right\} \sigma_H^{2n} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi = \frac{\rho^2}{2}$, where $\rho := \|x_H\|_{Eu} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^h x_i^2}$. Then, we have

- $grad_H \phi = x_H$,
- $T\phi = 0$
- $\Delta_H \phi = 2n$,
- $\mathrm{Hess}_H \phi = \mathbf{1}_{2n\times 2n}$
- $grad_{HS} \varphi = x_{HS} = x_H - \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle \nu_H$,
- $\Delta_{HS} \varphi = div_{HS} \left(grad_{HS} \varphi \right) = (h-1) + \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle$,
- $\mathcal{L}_{HS} \varphi = (2n-1) + \left\langle \left(\mathcal{H}_H \nu_H - \varpi \nu_H^\perp \right), x_H \right\rangle = (2n-1) + \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle x_H, \nu_H \right\rangle - \varpi \left\langle x_H, \nu_H^\perp \right\rangle$.

Thus, substituting these computations into the identity of Theorem 1.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_D \left((2n)^2 - 2n\right)\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} = 2n(2n-1)\mathcal{V}ol^{2n+1}(D)\\
= &\int_S \left\{2\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle\left((2n-1) + \mathcal{H}_H\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle - \varpi\left\langle x_H, \nu_H^{\perp}\right\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\varpi\left\langle x_H, \nu_H^{\perp}\right\rangle\right) - \mathcal{H}_H\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle^2 - S_H\left(x_{HS}, x_{HS}\right)\right\}\sigma_H^{2n}\\
= &\int_S \left\{2(2n-1)\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle + \mathcal{H}_H\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle^2 - 3\varpi\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle\left\langle x_H, \nu_H^{\perp}\right\rangle - S_H\left(x_{HS}, x_{HS}\right)\right\}\sigma_H^{2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_D div_{\mathcal{R}}\, x_H\, \sigma^{2n+1} = 2n\mathcal{V}ol^{2n+1}(D) = \int_S \left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle\, \sigma_H^{2n}$, we get that

$$2n(2n-1)\mathcal{V}ol^{2n+1}(D) + \int_S \left(\mathcal{H}_H\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle^2 - 3\varpi\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle\left\langle x_H, \nu_H^{\perp}\right\rangle - S_H\left(x_{HS}, x_{HS}\right)\right)\sigma_H^{2n} = 0.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{V}ol^{2n+1}(D) = \frac{1}{2n(2n-1)}\int_S \left(-\mathcal{H}_H\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle^2 + 3\varpi\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle\left\langle x_H, \nu_H^{\perp}\right\rangle + S_H\left(x_{HS}, x_{HS}\right)\right)\sigma_H^{2n},$$

which is equivalent to the thesis. □

Another interesting formula can be obtained by using jointly both Corollary 4.4 and Corollary 4.5.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $n > 1$, let $D \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and let $S = \partial D$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth compact (closed) hypersurface. Then*

$$\mathcal{V}ol^{2n+1}(D) = \frac{1}{4n(n-1)}\int_S \left\{-\mathcal{H}_H\left(\left\langle x_H, \nu_H\right\rangle^2 - \left\langle x_H^{\perp}, \nu_H\right\rangle^2\right) + \left[S_H\left(x_{HS}, x_{HS}\right) - S_H\left(x_{HS}^{\perp}, x_{HS}^{\perp}\right)\right]\right\}\sigma_H^{2n}.$$

Proof. Immediate. □

4.1. **An integral formula for the horizontal mean curvature.** Let $D \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a smooth, say $\mathbf{C}^3$, bounded domain (i.e. open and connected) and assume that there exists a (global) defining function $f : \mathbb{H}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for D. This means that

- $D = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^n : f(x) < 0\}$,
- $D^c = \mathbb{H}^n \setminus D = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^n : f(x) > 0\}$,
- $grad\, f \neq 0$ at every point $x \in \partial D$.

From now on we will set $S := \partial D$. The outward unit normal along S is given by $\nu = \frac{grad\, f}{|grad\, f|}$. It will be useful to replace the defining function f for D with the function $\widetilde{f} = \frac{f}{|grad_H f|}$. In fact, this new function has the remarkable feature that $|grad_H \widetilde{f}| = 1$ along S. However, in general, the function $\widetilde{f}$ is just of class $\mathbf{C}^2$ (i.e is one order of differentiability less smooth than f) on $S \setminus C_S$ and fails to be smooth only at C_S.

Thus, applying Theorem 1.2 to the function $\phi := \widetilde{f}$ yields the formula:

$$\int_D \left\{(\Delta_H \phi)^2 - \|\mathrm{Hess}_H \phi\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + 2\left\langle grad_H\,(T\phi), (grad_H \phi)^{\perp}\right\rangle\right\}\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} = -\int_S \mathcal{H}_H\, \sigma_H^{2n}. \tag{11}$$

Note that we have used $grad\, \varphi = \nu$ (which implies $grad_{HS}\, \varphi = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\, \varphi = 0$) where $\varphi = \phi|_S$.

Now let S be a compact $\mathbf{C}^3$-smooth embedded hypersurface. A similar formula can be obtained when we consider a foliation of a small spatial neighborhood of S. More precisely, let $f : \mathbb{H}^n \times]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^3$-smooth function such that:

- $S_t = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^n : f_t(x) = f(x, t) = 0\ \forall\, t \in]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\}$,
- $|grad\, f_t| \neq 0$ along S_t for every $t \in]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$,
- $|grad_H f_t| = 1$ at each NC point of S_t.

Moreover, let $D := \{x \in \mathbb{H}^n : f_t(x) \in]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\}$ and set $S^{\pm} = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^n : f_t(x) = \pm\varepsilon\}$.

We again apply Theorem 1.2 to the function $\phi := f$ and we similarly get

$$\int_D \left\{(\Delta_H \phi)^2 - \|\mathrm{Hess}_H \phi\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + 2\left\langle grad_H\,(T\phi), (grad_H \phi)^{\perp}\right\rangle\right\}\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} = -\int_{S^+ \cup S^-} \mathcal{H}_H\, \sigma_H^{2n}, \tag{12}$$

where we have used $grad\,\varphi = \nu$ (so that $grad_{HS}\,\varphi = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{HS}\,\varphi = 0$) and $\varphi = \phi|_S$. The previous assumptions allow us to say something more. But before this, we need the following corollary of the classical Coarea formula:

Proposition 4.7. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a smooth domain and let $\phi \in \mathbf{C}^1(D)$. Then*

$$\int_D \psi |grad_H\,\phi(x)|\,\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \left(\int_{\phi^{-1}[s]\cap D} \psi\,\sigma_H^{2n} \right). \tag{13}$$

Applying this formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_D \left\{ (\Delta_H\,\phi)^2 - \|\mathrm{Hess}_H\,\phi\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + 2\left\langle grad_H\,(T\phi)\,,(grad_H\,\phi)^{\perp} \right\rangle \right\} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^{2n+1} \\ =\ &\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} ds \left\{ \int_{S_t=\phi^{-1}[s]\cap D} \left((\mathcal{H}_H)_t^2 - \left\|\mathcal{J}_H\,\nu_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + 2\frac{\partial \varpi^t}{\partial \nu_H^{t\,\perp}} \right) \sigma_H^{2n} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where ν_H^t is the unit H-normal along S_t and $(\mathcal{H}_H)_t$ denotes the H-mean curvature of S_t. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\mathcal{J}_H\,\nu_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 &= \left\|B_H^t + \nabla_{\nu_H^t}^H\,\nu_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 = \left\|S_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + \left\|A_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + \left\|\nabla_{\nu_H^t}^H\,\nu_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 \\ &= \left\|S_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + \frac{n-1}{2}(\varpi^t)^2 + (\varpi^t)^2 \left\|C_H^{2n+1}\nu_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 \\ &= \left\|S_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + \frac{n+1}{2}(\varpi^t)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $B_H^t = S_H^t + A_H^t$ together with the identity $\nabla_{\nu_H^t}^H\,\nu_H^t = -\varpi^t C_H^{2n+1}\nu_H^t$; see, for instance, [21]. Hence

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} ds \underbrace{\int_{S_t} \left((\mathcal{H}_H)_t^2 - \left\|S_H^t\right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + 2\frac{\partial \varpi^t}{\partial \nu_H^{t\,\perp}} - \frac{n+1}{2}(\varpi^t)^2 \right) \sigma_H^{2n}}_{=II_{S_t}(\nu_H^t,\sigma_H^{2n})} = -\int_{S^+\cup S^-} \mathcal{H}_H\,\sigma_H^{2n}, \tag{14}$$

where $II_{S_t}(\nu_H^t, \sigma_H^{2n})$ is nothing but the second variation formula of the H-perimeter $(\sigma_H^{2n})_t$ of S_t for a variation ϑ having variation vector $W_t = \frac{d}{dt}\vartheta = \nu_H^t$; see [20, 21].

At this point, we may apply another integral formula to each integral over S_t. We stress that we are assuming that each S_t is a compact closed hypersurface, at least of class $\mathbf{C}^2$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$-smooth compact hypersurface without boundary. Then*

$$\int_S \left(\frac{\partial \varpi}{\partial \nu_H^{\perp}} - n\varpi^2 \right) \sigma_H^{2n} = 0, \tag{15}$$

whenever $\varpi\nu_H^{\perp}$ is admissible (for the horizontal divergence formula).

Proof. We have

$$\int_S \mathcal{D}_{HS}\,(\varpi\nu_H^{\perp})\,\sigma_H^{2n} = \int_S \left(div_{HS}\,(\varpi\nu_H^{\perp}) - \varpi\langle \nu_H^{\perp}, \nu_H^{\perp}\rangle \right) \sigma_H^{2n} = \int_S \left(div_{HS}\,(\varpi\nu_H^{\perp}) - \varpi^2 \right) \sigma_H^{2n} = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} div_{HS}\,(\varpi\nu_H^{\perp}) &= \frac{\partial \varpi}{\partial \nu_H^{\perp}} + \varpi div_{HS}\,(\nu_H^{\perp}) \\ &= \frac{\partial \varpi}{\partial \nu_H^{\perp}} - \varpi\,\mathrm{Tr}(B_H(\,\cdot\,, C_{HS}^{2n+1}\,\cdot)), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{HS}^{2n+1} = C_H^{2n+1}|_{HS}$, we get that

$$\int_S \left(\frac{\partial \varpi}{\partial \nu_H^{\perp}} - \varpi^2 \right) \sigma_H^{2n} = \int_S \left(\mathrm{Tr}(B_H(\,\cdot\,, C_{HS}^{2n+1}\,\cdot)) \right) \varpi\sigma_H^{2n}. \tag{16}$$

Since $\mathrm{Tr}(B_H(\,\cdot\,, C_{HS}^{2n+1}\,\cdot)) = (n-1)\varpi$, the thesis follows; see [20, 21]. ☐

Finally, by using (14) and the last lemma, we have proved the following:

Corollary 4.9. *Under the previous assumptions, the following holds:*

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} ds \int_{S_t} \left((\mathcal{H}_H)_t^2 - \left\| S^{\,t}_{H} \right\|_{\mathrm{Gr}}^2 + \frac{3n-1}{2} (\varpi^t)^2 \right) \sigma_H^{2n} = - \int_{S^+ \cup S^-} \mathcal{H}_H \, \sigma_H^{2n}.$$

Proof. Immediate. ☐

References

[1] N. Arcozzi, F. Ferrari & F. Montefalcone, *CC-distance and metric normal of smooth hypersurfaces in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups*, preprint 2009.

[2] Z.M. Balogh, *Size of characteristic sets and functions with prescribed gradient,* J. Reine Angew. Math. 564 (2003) 63–83.

[3] Z.M. Balogh, C. Pintea, H. Rohner, *Size of tangencies to non-involutive distributions,* Preprint 2010.

[4] L. Capogna, D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, *The geometric Sobolev embedding for vector fields and the isoperimetric inequality*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 12, 1994.

[5] L. Capogna, D. Danielli, S. Pauls, J.T. Tyson, *An introduction to the Heisenberg group and the sub-Riemannian isoperimetric problem*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 259, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2007.

[6] I. Chavel, *"Riemannian Geometry: a modern introduction"*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

[7] J.J Cheng, J.F. Hwang, A. Malchiodi, P. Yang, *Minimal surfaces in pseudohermitian geometry,* Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., 5, IV (2005) 129-179.

[8] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, D.M. Nhieu, *Minimal surfaces, surfaces of constant mean curvature and isoperimetry in Carnot groups*, preprint 2001.

[9] ———, *Sub-Riemannian Calculus on Hypersurfaces in Carnot groups*, arXiv:DG/0512547.

[10] H. Federer, *"Geometric Measure Theory"*, Springer Verlag, 1969.

[11] B. Franchi, R. Serapioni, F.S. Cassano, *Rectifiability and Perimeter in the Heisenberg Group*, Math. Ann., 321, 479-531, 2001.

[12] N. Garofalo, S. Pauls, *The Berstein problem in the Heisenberg group,* arXiv:math.DG/0209065.

[13] M. Gromov, *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*, in *"Subriemannian Geometry"*, Progress in Mathematics, 144. ed. by A.Bellaiche and J.Risler, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1996.

[14] S. Helgason, *"Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces"*, Academic Press, New York (1978).

[15] N.J. Hicks, *"Notes on Differential geometry"*, Van Nostrand Reinholds Company, London, 1971.

[16] R.H Hladky, S.D. Pauls, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in sub-Riemannian geometry*, J. Differential Geom. 79, no. 1 (2008).

[17] P Li, *Lecture notes on geometric analysis*, Lecture Notes Series, 6. Seoul National University, Research Institute of Mathematics, Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul, 1993. iv+90 pp.

[18] V. Magnani, *Characteristic points, Rectifiability and Perimeter measure on stratified groups*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 8, no. 5 (2006).

[19] F. Montefalcone, *Some Remarks in Differential and Integral Geometry of Carnot Groups* Tesi di Dottorato–Università degli Studi di Bologna– Aprile 2004.

[20] ———, *Hypersurfaces and variational formulas in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 87 (2007) 453-494.

[21] ———, *Stable H-minimal hypersurfaces*, preprint 2012 (available at ArXiv).

[22] R. Montgomery, *"A Tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications"*, AMS, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 91, 2002.

[23] R. Monti, *Heisenberg isoperimetric problem. The axial case,* Adv. Calc. Var. 1 (2008), 93, 121.

[24] ———, *Brunn-Minkowski and isoperimetric inequality in the Heisenberg group,* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 28 (2003), no. 1, 99, 109.

[25] R. Monti, M. Rickly, *Convex isoperimetric sets in the Heisenberg group,* Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. VIII, issue 2 (2009).

[26] P. Pansu, *"Geometrie du Group d'Heisenberg"*, These pour le titre de Docteur, 3ème cycle, Universite Paris VII, 1982.

[27] ———, *An isoperimetric inequality on the Heisenberg group,* Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino (1983), no. Special Issue, 159 174 (1984), Conference on differential geometry on homogeneous spaces (Turin, 1983).

[28] R. Reilly, *Applications of the Hessian Operator in a Riemannian Manifold*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26 No. 3 (1977), 459472.

[29] M. Ritoré, C. Rosales, *Area stationary surfaces in the Heisenberg group* $\mathbb{H}^1$, Adv. Math. 219 no. 2 (2008).

[30] E.M. Stein, *"Harmonic Analysis"*, Princeton University Press, 1993.

[31] M.E. Taylor, *"Measure Theory and Integration"*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 76, A.M.S. (2006).

Francescopaolo Montefalcone:
Dipartimento di Matematica Pura e Applicata
Università degli Studi di Padova,
Via Trieste, 63, 35121 Padova (Italy)
E-mail address: montefal@math.unipd.it